UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

MIKE SASSIN #139861,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:08-cv-47
v.	Honorable R. Allan Edgar
DAVE BERGH, et al.,	
Defendants.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This is a civil rights action brought by a state prisoner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and Plaintiff has paid the initial partial filing fee. Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (1996), the Court is required to dismiss any prisoner action brought under federal law if the complaint is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A; 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c). The Court must read Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint indulgently, *see Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), and accept Plaintiff's allegations as true, unless they are clearly irrational or wholly incredible. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). Applying these standards, I recommend that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

Discussion

I. Factual allegations

Plaintiff Mike Sassin, an inmate at the Alger Maximum Correctional Facility (LMF), filed this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendants Warden Dave Bergh, Resident Unit Supervisor Unknown McBurney, Police Officer Unknown Luke, Police Officer Kelly Johnson, Lieutenant Unknown Doty, and Sergeant Unknown King. Plaintiff alleges in his complaint that Defendant Police Officers are White racists who stole his food and water continuously in 2006 and 2007 in order to shorten his life so that the MDOC could steal the "Sassin Estate," of which Plaintiff is heir apparent. Plaintiff claims that starvation speeds up death by liver disease. Plaintiff seeks an order requiring the MDOC to pay for a liver transplant, as well as damages for the weight loss he has suffered because of Defendants' conduct.

II. Failure to state a claim

A complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted when it is clear that no relief could be granted under any set of facts that could be proved consistent with the allegations of the complaint. *Jones v. City of Carlisle*, 3 F.3d 945, 947 (6th Cir. 1993). To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege the violation of a right secured by the federal Constitution or laws and must show that the deprivation was committed by a person acting under color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988); *Street v. Corr. Corp. of Am.*, 102 F.3d 810, 814 (6th Cir. 1996). Because § 1983 is a method for vindicating federal rights, not a source of substantive rights itself, the first step in an action under § 1983 is to identify the specific constitutional right allegedly infringed. *Albright v. Oliver*, 510 U.S. 266, 271 (1994).

The undersigned notes that Plaintiff's allegations are disorganized, somewhat irrational and conclusory. In his complaint, Plaintiff claims that Defendants are stealing his food and that his weight is now 179 pounds. Plaintiff is six feet tall and weighed 195 pounds in 2005. (See http://www.state.mi.us/mdoc/asp/otis2profile.asp?mdocNumber=139861.) Plaintiff claims that "starvation" hastens death by liver disease. However, Plaintiff fails to allege that he has actually suffered any illness as a result of his weight loss of 16 pounds, nor does Plaintiff specify any particular instances in which his food was actually stolen. Nor does Plaintiff explain how the MDOC could actually obtain his inheritance should he die. In addition, although Plaintiff complains that Defendants are White racists, he himself is Caucasion. The undersigned concludes that the allegations in Plaintiff's complaint are broad, conclusory statements which are not supported by sufficient facts to deserve serious consideration. See, e.g., Nuclear Transport & Storage, Inc. v. United States, 890 F.2d 1348 (6th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 494 U.S. 1079 (1990); Scheid v. Fanny Farmer Candy Shops, Inc., 859 F.2d 434, 436-437 (6th Cir. 1988); Morgan v. Church's Fried Chicken, 829 F.2d 10, 12 (6th Cir. 1987); Chapman v. City of Detroit, 808 F.2d 459, 465 (6th Cir. 1986); Smith v. Rose, 760 F.2d 102 (6th Cir. 1985); Johnson v. Stark, 717 F.2d 1550 (8th Cir. 1983).

Recommended Disposition

Having conducted the review now required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, I recommend that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 1915A(b), and 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c). Should this report and recommendation be adopted, the dismissal of this action will count as a strike for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

I further recommend that the Court find no good-faith basis for appeal within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). *See McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 611 (6th Cir. 1997).

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley
TIMOTHY P. GREELEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: May 16, 2008

NOTICE TO PARTIES

Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed and served within ten days of service of this notice on you. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). All objections and responses to objections are governed by W.D. Mich. LCivR 72.3(b). Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of any further right of appeal. *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981); see Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).